



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,712	03/18/2004	Rafael Camarota	015114-069900US	7512

26059 7590 10/26/2005

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP/ 015114
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER
8TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

EXAMINER

TRA, ANH QUAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2816

DATE MAILED: 10/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/804,712

Applicant(s)

CAMAROTA ET AL.

Examiner

Quan Tra

Art Unit

2816

[Handwritten signature]

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 September 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the amendment filed 09/06/05. A new ground of rejection is introduced as necessitated by amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyanaga et al. (USP 5514893) in view of Verhaege et al. (USP 6529359).

As to claim 1, Miyanaga et al.'s figure 1 shows a electronic system comprising: a first integrated circuit comprising: an input buffer (6, 7) coupled between a first supply terminal and a second supply terminal and further coupled to a pad (1); and a clamp diode (2) coupled between the pad and the first supply terminal. Thus, figure 1 shows all elements of the claim except for a detail of a driver circuit, not shown, that providing driving signal to pad 1. However, Verhaege et al.'s figure 1 shows a driver circuit with ESD protection. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use Verhaege et al.'s driver circuit to driver Miyanaga et al.'s circuit figure 1 for the purpose of saving cost. Thus, the modified Miyanaga et al.'s figure 1 further shows a resistor (Verhaege et al.'s Rout) having a first terminal coupled to the pad, and a second terminal coupled to receive an input signal.

As to claim 2, the modified Miyanaga et al.'s figure 1 shows a second integrated circuit (Verhaege et al.'s 20, 22, 30, 38, 44, 34) coupled to the second terminal of the resistor and configured to provide the input signal.

As to claim 3, Miyanaga et al.'s figure 1 shows that the clamp diode has a anode and a cathode, the anode is coupled to the pad and the cathode is coupled to the first supply terminal.

As to claim 4, Miyanaga et al.'s figure 1 shows that the first supply terminal is configured to receive a positive supply voltage, and the second supply voltage is configured to receive a ground supply.

As to claim 5, Miyanaga et al.'s figure 1 shows a pull-up output device (4) coupled between the first supply terminal and the pad; and a pull-down output device coupled between the pad and the second supply voltage.

As to claim 6, Miyanaga et al.'s figure 1 shows that the pull-up device has a gate coupled to a first predriver circuit (8), and the pull-down device has a gate coupled to a second predriver circuit (9).

As to claim 7, the modified Miyanaga et al.'s figure 1 fails to show logic gate coupled to drive the predriver. However, it is notoriously well known in the art buffer circuit, such as inverter, is for isolating and shaping signal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use buffer circuit, such as inverter, to driver the predirvers 8 and 9 for the purpose of buffering their inputs signals.

3. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyanaga et al. (USP 5514893) in view of Verhaege et al. (USP 6529359) and Toyashima (US 20010017755).

The modified Miyanaga et al.'s figure 1 shows all limitations of the claim except for a switch coupled between the pad and the clamp diode. However, Toyashima's figure 2 shows a circuit having switch F1 coupled between pad 10 and clamp diode D1 to remove the clamp circuit when circuit operates at high speed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to add switches between Miyanaga et al.'s input pad and the diodes for the purpose of capable of increasing the circuit speed.

4. Claims 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyanaga et al. (USP 5514893) in view of Verhaege et al. (USP 6529359) and Kwon et al. (US 20020163768).

As to claim 9, the modified Miyanaga et al.'s figure 1 shows all limitations of the claim except for a series of clamp diodes coupled between the pad and the second supply terminal. However, Kwon's figure 4 shows a plurality of clamp diodes (D41-D4n) coupled between pad 210 and second power supply Vss. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ Kwon's teaching by adding plurality of clamp diodes (D41-D4n) connected between Miyanaga's pad and the second power supply for the purpose of further protecting the internal circuit.

As to claim 10, the modified Miyanaga et al.'s figure 1 shows that the series of clamp diodes comprises four diodes.

As to claim 11, the modified Miyanaga et al.'s figure 1 shows a second integrated circuit (Verhaege et al.'s 14, 12) coupled to the second terminal of the resistor and configured to provide the input signal.

As to claim 12, the modified Miyanaga et al.' s figure 1 shows that each of the clamp diodes in the series of clamp diodes has a anode and a cathode, the anode of one of the clamp diodes in the series of clamp diodes is coupled to the pad, and the cathode of one of the clnmp diodes in the series of clamp diodes is coupled to the second supply terminal.

As to claim 13, Miyanaga et al.' s figure 1 shows that the first supply terminal is configured to receive a positive supply voltage, and the second supply voltage is configured to receive a ground supply.

As to claim 14, Miyanaga et al.' s figure 1 shows that the integrated circuit further comprises a pull-up output device (4) coupled between the first supply terminal and the pad; and a pull-down output device (5) coupled between the pad and the second supply voltage.

As to claim 15, Miyanaga et al.' s figure 1 shows that the pull-up device has a gate coupled to a first predriver circuit (8), and the pull-down device has a gate coupled to a second predriver circuit (9).

As to claim 16, the modified Miyanaga et al.' s figure 1 fails to show logic gate coupled to drive the predriver. However, it is notoriously well known in the art buffer circuit, such as inverter, is for isolating and shaping signal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use buffer circuit, such as inverter, to driver the predirvers 8 and 9 for the purpose of buffering their inputs signals.

5. Claims 17-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyanaga et al. (USP 5514893) in view of Verhaege et al. (USP 6529359) and Toyashima (US 20010017755) and Sher (USP 6417721).

Art Unit: 2816

As to claim 17, the modified Miyanaga et al.'s figure 1 shows all elements of the claims except for the switch is a transistor (see the rejection of claim 8). However, Sher's figures 2A-2F shows that transistor and fuse are type of switches. One skill in the art would have recognized that the connection of fuse is not reversible if opened, and the connection of transistor is reversible if opened/closed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use transistor as a switch between Miyanaga et al.'s pad and diode for the purpose of reversible turning on/off the switch.

As to claim 18, Miyanaga et al.'s figure 1 shows that the resistor is further coupled to a pad.

As to claim 19, Miyanaga et al.'s figure 1 shows that clamp circuit comprises one diode (2) having an anode and a cathode, the anode coupled to the input of the buffer and the cathode couple the first supply terminal.

As to claim 20, the modified Miyanaga et al.'s figure 1 fails to show that the diode comprises plurality of diodes connected in series. However, it is notoriously well known in the art that the number of clamp diodes determined the clamp threshold level. it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use plurality of series connected clamp diodes for Miyanaga et al.'s diode (2) for the purpose of increasing the clamp threshold level (further see the previously cited JP- 06178445).

As to claim 21, the modified Miyanaga et al.'s figure 1 shows an output driver comprising: a pull-up device (4) coupled between the first supply terminal and the input of the buffer; and a pull-down device (5) coupled between the input of the buffer and the second supply terminal.

As to claim 22, the modified Miyanaga et al.'s figure 1 shows that the switch transistor having gate coupled to received the first signal (inherent).

As to claim 23, the modified Miyanaga et al.'s figure 1 shows that the integrated circuit further comprises a transistor in series with the clamp diode, the transistor having a gate couple to receive a control signal (see the rejection of claim 17).

6. Claims 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyanaga et al. (USP 5514893) in view of Verhaege et al. (USP 6529359) and Toyashima (US 20010017755) and Sher (USP 6417721) and Grugett (USP 5767733).

As to claim 24, the modified Miyanaga et al.'s figure 1 shows a first transistor in series with the clamp diode (see the rejection of claim 17), the transistor having a gate coupled to receive a control signal; and a second transistor (4) coupled between the pad and the first supply terminal. The modified Miyanaga et al.'s figure 1 fails to show that the clamp diode is a drain-to-bulk diode of the second transistor. However, Grugett's figure 1 shows that the bulk of transistor is connected it source in order to reduce leakage current. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to connect the bulk of Miyanaga et al.'s transistors respectively to their sources for the purpose of reducing leakage current.

As to claim 25, the modified Miyanaga et al.'s figure 1 shows all elements of the claim except for a first and second transistors respectively connected between the bulk of the pullup transistor and its source and drain. However, Gugett's figure 4 and 6 show transistors (94, 96) respectively coupled between the bulk of transistor 90 and its drain and source for the purpose of reducing the resistance of transistor 90, thereby increasing the speed. Therefore, it would have

Art Unit: 2816

been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ Gugett's teaching to Miyanaga's pull-up and pull-down transistors (4, 5) for the purpose of increasing the speed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quan Tra whose telephone number is 571-272-1755. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 A.M.-5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



QUAN TRA
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2816

October 25, 2005